

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,190	09/08/2003	Nobuhiro Miki	` 21776-00042-US	8417	
30678 CONNOLLY F	7590 12/28/2007 BOVE LODGE & HUTZ I	ΤP	EXAMINER		
1875 EYE STREET, N.W.			MARKOFF, ALEXANDER		
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20036		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1792		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Cummons	10/656,190	MIKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander Markoff	1792	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tatute, cause the application to become ABANE	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	0 October 2007.		
,	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matters	, prosecution as to the merits is	;
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,8,10-13,19 and 20</u> is/are pend	ding in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-5,8,10 and 19</u> i	-	on.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-13 and 20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
•	· .		
Application Papers	:		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		the Eveniner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			•
Applicant may not request that any objection to			۷/
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			<i>a).</i>
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached O	ince Action of John PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		eived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu	·	a is a d	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not rec	ervea.	
	•		
Attachment(s)		•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	, 	mary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		ail Date mal Patent Application	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	nari atent Application	

10/656,190 Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/10/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 11-13 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 11-13 recite the use of a steam introduction valve and a steam spraying nozzle to bring the steam into contact with a surface and to spray the steam onto the surface, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass by recitation of "using" the recited parts. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 11-13 and 20 recite the use of to purify the surface, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what

Art Unit: 1792

method/process applicant is intending to encompass by recitation of "using" the recited parts. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 11-13, 18, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kunze-Concewitz (WO 96/10463, U.S. 5,964,952).
- U.S. 5,964,952 to Kunze-Concewitz is taken as an equivalent to WO 96/10463, which is published in German on April 11, 1996. Kunze-Concewitz discloses a surface purification method used in manufacturing semiconductor or LCD devises. The method of Kunze-Concewitz comprises generating a wet steam having liquid droplets (reads on "mist containing steam", as instantly claimed), bringing the wet steam into contact with the surface of the device by spraying, thus purifying the surface of the device (see entire document, especially col.4, line 28; col. 5, lines 15-27, Figures 1 and 3-11). With regard to claim 13 Kunze-Concewitz teaches the processing temperature in the range from 100°C to 200°C (col.5, lines 40-42).

Art Unit: 1792

With regard to claim 20, Kunze-Concewitz teaches the use of steam valve 4 for introducing a wet steam into the chamber by spraying onto the surface through a steam spraying nozzle 1 (col.4, lines 26- 29, col. 5, lines 15-27 and Figures 1 and 8-11).

Therefore, all the processing steps as instantly claimed are met by Kunze-Concewitz.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 10/10/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicants again argue that the applied prior art does not teach two different steam processing steps.

This is not persuasive:

The claims require bringing the mist containing saturated steam into contact with a surface of the substrate by using a steam introduction valve (or introducing the referenced steam into a processing chamber with an introduction valve).

Such is disclosed by Kunze-Concewitz. The steam is delivered to the substrate (chamber) through valve (4).

The claims further require spraying the referenced steam onto the substrate by using (through) a spraying nozzle.

Such is also disclosed by Kunze-Concewitz. The steam is sprayed onto the substrate through nozzle(s) (1, 37).

Whether or not the method described with respect to Figure 1 in the instant application is different from what is disclosed by Kunze-Concewitz, the limitations of the

Application/Control Number:

10/656,190 Art Unit: 1792

instant claims are disclosed by Kunze-Concewitz and thereby the instant claims are anticipated by the teaching of the applied document.

The applicants further allege that Kunze-Concewitz does not disclose the purification effect of the claimed steps.

This is not persuasive:

First, the claims are silent regarding any argued purification effect.

Second, the claimed steps are disclosed by the applied document.

Third, in contrast to the applicant's arguments Kunze-Concewitz teaches purification effects as argued at least at column 5, lines 15-27.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patents 6,178,973, 6,508,258, 6,601,594 are cited to show the state of the prior art with respect to steam cleaning methods.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Markoff whose telephone number is 571-272-1304. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alexander Markoff Primary Examiner Art Unit 1792

AM

ALEXANDER MARKOFF PRIMARY EXAMINER